

To: Walt Sanders[wsanders@vmgthehill.com]
From: McQueen, Jacqueline
Sent: Mon 4/25/2016 1:49:58 PM
Subject: RE: Question

I'm asking because STC came in here with a larger contingency, led by Nancy. Rom Reddy also came, but not FieldTurf.

From: Walt Sanders [mailto:wsanders@vmgthehill.com]
Sent: Monday, April 25, 2016 9:34 AM
To: McQueen, Jacqueline <McQueen.Jacqueline@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Question

The turf/rubber Safe Field Alliance hired a PR firm and Nancy Nord to see if she could fix the problem. Of course, Nancy Nord knows very little about the industry or the problem, but notwithstanding her lack knowledge, they hired the name because she is a former Chairman of the CPSC. I'm still representing the STC but they have been deferring to the Rubber Coalition. It seems that Field Turf and the rubber industry are pretty much controlling the agenda. I have been very absorbed in two issues before the FDA right now.

From: McQueen, Jacqueline [mailto:McQueen.Jacqueline@epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, April 25, 2016 9:28 AM
To: Walt Sanders
Subject: RE: Question

Yes, let me see if it is available yet. Did you register? If you did, you should also get slides.

Where have you been on this topic? Are you still involved?

Jackie

From: Walt Sanders [<mailto:wsanders@vmgthehill.com>]
Sent: Monday, April 25, 2016 9:06 AM
To: McQueen, Jacqueline <McQueen.Jacqueline@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Question

I missed the webinar because of a conflict in scheduling. Could you please send me the link to the archived version? I would be very interested in listening to the presentation and the questions that were asked.

From: McQueen, Jacqueline [<mailto:McQueen.Jacqueline@epa.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, November 05, 2015 4:55 PM
To: Walt Sanders
Subject: RE: Question

When we have a signed, final letter, I can provide it. We have a one week extension to 11/13.

From: Walt Sanders [<mailto:wsanders@vmgthehill.com>]
Sent: Thursday, November 05, 2015 4:50 PM
To: McQueen, Jacqueline <McQueen.Jacqueline@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Question

Would it be possible for me to receive a copy of the EPA response to the Committee letter? If so, who should I ask?

From: McQueen, Jacqueline [<mailto:McQueen.Jacqueline@epa.gov>]
Sent: Friday, October 30, 2015 7:54 AM
To: Rom Reddy
Cc: Walt Sanders
Subject: RE: Question

Hi Rom and thanks.

What I am trying to determine is whether this is a voluntary standard or not. On the Synthetic Turf Council website, there is a document dated August 2015 that discusses the standard for metals. It uses the words “suggested” and “voluntary.” Is this accurate? I want to make sure I am characterizing this correctly. Thanks.

Jackie

Jacqueline McQueen
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (8104R)
Office of Research and Development
Office of Science Policy
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20460
(202) 564-6639

From: Rom Reddy [<mailto:romreddy@sprinturf.com>]
Sent: Thursday, October 29, 2015 4:09 PM
To: McQueen, Jacqueline <McQueen.Jacqueline@epa.gov>
Cc: Walt Sanders <wsanders@vmgthehill.com>
Subject: Question

Jackie, my name is Rom Reddy and I am the Managing Partner of Sprinturf—one of the 3 member Safe Field Alliance team. I have attached a test done by Labosport, a world renowned lab that does all the tests for FIFA. As u can see from the test, the values compare the test for crumb rubber vs the very stringent European Union Toy standard. The US toy standard specifies a 100 ppm limit for one of the heavy metals---lead that has received the most attention. However, all the other heavy metals that are less critical than lead also test less than 100 ppm. If u combine the lead levels in the green blades and the lead levels in crumb rubber, they both test less than 100 ppm. Ditto for other heavy metals. The EPA guideline for these metals in urban soils is 400ppm. Hope this provides some clarity. These tests are duplicated by almost any other certified lab.

Rom Reddy